

53rd Annual Meeting December 4, 2014

Assessment and Review of Graduate Programs – Master's

Bob Augustine – Eastern Illinois University Maria Di Stefano – Truman State University

Susan Pocotte – University of Toledo



Context: Graduate Study at Truman State University

- Public Liberal Arts and Sciences University for Missouri - only public highly selective
- Predominantly undergraduate
 5% graduate students
- Master's programs:
 - Accountancy
 - CommunicationDisorders
 - Counseling
 - Education

- Biology
- English
- Leadership
- Music



Context: Assessment at Truman State University

MATURE ASSESSMENT CULTURE

• 1970'S:

Nationally-normed senior exam in the major VALUE-ADDED: freshman-sophomore tests

• 1980'S:

Sophomore Writing Experience (SWE)
G. T. Mitau Award for Innovation and Change-AASCU
Change in Mission to Statewide LAS
Senior Portfolio Assessment (SP)

• 1990'S:

Interview Project for juniors (IP)

Faculty Participation: 40-50 IP, 50-70 SWE, 50 SP



Context: Assessment at Truman State University

Components of Truman's Assessment Program. To learn more about an instrument, please view the Assessment Almanac.

Instrument	Who Takes It?	Developed Internally (I) or Externally (E)?	Type?	Indirect (I) or Direct (D) Outcomes Measure?
National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Sample of Freshmen and Seniors	E	Survey	I
Student Interview Project	Varies	I	Interview	I
Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA)	Seniors	Е	Nationally Normed Exam	D
Senior Tests in the Major	Seniors	E	Nationally Normed Exam	D
Graduating Student Questionnaire (GSQ)	Seniors	I	Survey	I
Capstone Experiences	Seniors	1	Performance Assessment	D
Portfolio Project	Seniors	I	Performance Assessment	D
Alumni Survey	Alumni	I	Survey	I
Employer Survey	Employers of Alumni	I	Survey	I
HERI Faculty Survey	Faculty and Administrators	E	Survey	I
Staff Survey	Staff	I	Survey	I



... in Graduate Programs?

HLC Accreditation challenge = an OPPORTUNITY!

COMMON FRAMEWORK
Graduate Council
Assessment Specialist
Focus on Learner-centered Assessment
To Be Used with 5-year Program
Reviews



Common Framework Parameters

- Provide as much information as possible (actual template)
- Allow for the use of pre-existing materials
- Break it up in steps
- Programs reported on each step sharing best practices
- Flexibility to accommodate variations across programs



Common Framework Template

- Student learning outcomes aligned with institutional and disciplinary objectives
 - Mission: University, Graduate Studies, School, Department
 - Program

Objectives

Learning Outcomes

Assessment for each Outcome

Use of Assessment Results



Defining Outcomes

- Focused on students
- Using action verbs

Master of Accountancy

"Graduates will be able to <u>work</u> <u>effectively in teams</u> to achieve common goals by organizing, coordinating and performing tasks and promoting effective communication."



Defining Outcomes

What is the evidence for this outcome?

- ... assists in organizing team activities
- ... completes own share of responsibilities
- ... contributes to problem solving
- ... creates collaborative atmosphere by communicating effectively
- ... assists in conflict resolution



Assessment Techniques

 Meaningful, manageable, preponderance of direct evidence

- Team projects in several courses
- Faculty developed rubrics for Teamwork Skills
- Students evaluate team members using rubric and faculty summarize
- Faculty discuss compiled results



Looking back...

- Faculty owned
 Good leadership in Graduate Council
- Institutional Support
 Assessment Specialist: workshops and training
 Scholarship of Assessment Grants
- Collaborative effort across programs
 Common framework
 Same timeline
 Shared working sessions



Results

Two Scholarship of Assessment Grants:

- Accounting
 Development of Team Skills Assessment
 Rubric
- Communication Disorders
 Authentic Assessment—an Alternative to the Comprehensive Exam



Communication Disorders

AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT

Before:

Thesis Option
Non-Thesis Option with Comprehensive Exam

After:

Thesis and Non-thesis Options
All do <u>CLINICAL PROCESS COMMENTARIES</u>
(sequence of case management projects)

Impetus from Assessment Plan and Implementation through Assessment Grant



Communication Disorders

Comprehensive Exam

Good preparation for Praxis

25-30% of students Partial Pass; 3-5% Fail

No opportunity for remediation

Limited assessment of skills vs. knowledge

No information for curricular revision

Gate keeper

Clinical Process Commentaries

Comprehensive Assessment *Program*

Aligned with ASHA Knowledge and Skills Acquisition

Aligned with the university and the program mission, objectives and outcomes

Formative and summative evaluation

Emphasized relationship between academic and clinical curricula



Clinical Process Commentaries

Second semester (CPC1) and third semester (CPC2)

CPC1	CPC2		
Second Semester	Third Semester		
15 minute oral presentation	Oral presentation with extensive Q&A		
Present to faculty and peers	Present to faculty		
Setting goals for growth as clinician	Self-assessment of progress on CPC1 goals		
Self-assessment of presentation and peers self-reflection	Self-assessment of strengths and weaknesses		
Faculty Feedback	Faculty evaluation of presentation and final paper		



Clinical Process Commentaries

Key Course Assignments with selfassessment component for graduate portfolio

Students...

- Doing as well on PRAXIS
- Doing as well in internships
- Same percentage doing thesis option

Positive feedback from ASHA



Assessment in Professional vs. Non-professional Programs

- Professional skills are well-defined
 - Professional expectations are standard
 - Intellectual/cognitive skills manifest in clear behavioral characteristics
- Accreditation
 - Programs are used to its demands
 - Well-defined expectations
 - Program survival depends on it



English

- Collaborative nature of Assessment Plans Development
- Impetus from 5-year Program Review

Direct Measure of SLOs

 Rubric-based assessment of term papers from three courses

ENG 516G – Studies in Literary Genres...

ENG 622G - British/Commonwealth Studies

ENG 655G – Graduate Seminar

English

Rubric Developed by Faculty

- Three categories
 - Displays knowledge of literary or cultural theory
 - Displays knowledge of previous scholarship in the area of study
 - Demonstrates command of academic discourse conventions
- 4-point scale
 - Inadequate
 - Proficient (low and high)
 - Excellent



English

- Proficiency levels less than desired
 - → more intentional preparation in each course
- Achievement level uneven across courses
 - → examine best practices, identify best types of assignments
- Alignment of Course Outcomes with Student Learning Outcomes in Syllabi
- Continuous direct assessment (between program reviews)



Accounting

- Higher Learning Commission
 - Open Pathways Program
 - Assessment Academy ("Quality Initiative")
 Critical Thinking, Leadership, Wellness
- Missouri Performance Funding
 - Five Performance Indicators
 - One is Institution Specific: Critical Thinking
- University Funding for Pilot Projects
 - Accounting



- External Accreditation
- Five-year Program Reviews
- University-wide Initiatives Grants/funding
 - Scholarship of Assessment
 - Pathways ProjectVisibility of Graduate Programs